

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/063,973	05/30/2002	Robert C.U. Yu	D/A2002Q1	4060	
25453	7590 07/09/2004		EXAMINER		
PATENT DOCUMENTATION CENTER			TRAN, THAO T		
XEROX CORPORATION 100 CLINTON AVE., SOUTH, XEROX SQUARE, 20TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ROCHESTER, NY 14644		1711		
				DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/063,973	YU, ROBERT C.U.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thao T. Tran	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application and of the control of the cont	ation. A proper reply of places the applica	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context of the co	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting E FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperture of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in fithe appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below):	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	,	,,	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	••	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered a w or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		• •	
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8 and 10-26</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 27 and 28.			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b)□ disapproved by the	ne Evaminer	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer			
10. Other:		SAMUEL A. ACQUAH	
		PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1290 1-	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly proposed claims 29 and 32 contain the limitation "bonding the strip to the flexible imaging member seam" that potentially raise new issues that would required further consideration and search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments are based on the newly proposed claims that have not been entered.